

---

# THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

---

SECOND EDITION

EDITOR  
MARK ABELL

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

# THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

---

The Franchise Law Review  
Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Franchise Law Review - Edition 2  
(published in January 2015 – editor Mark Abell).

For further information please email  
[Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com](mailto:Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com)

# THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

---

Second Edition

Editor  
MARK ABELL

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

# THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND  
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW

THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW

THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW

PUBLISHER  
Gideon Robertson

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER  
Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS  
Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee

ACCOUNT MANAGER  
Felicity Bown

PUBLISHING COORDINATOR  
Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT  
Dominique Destrée

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT  
Shani Bans

HEAD OF PRODUCTION  
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITORS  
Robbie Kelly, Joanne Morley

SUBEDITOR  
Jonathan Allen

MANAGING DIRECTOR  
Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom  
by Law Business Research Ltd, London  
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK  
© 2015 Law Business Research Ltd  
[www.TheLawReviews.co.uk](http://www.TheLawReviews.co.uk)

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of February 2015, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – [gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com](mailto:gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com)

ISBN 978-1-909830-32-5

Printed in Great Britain by  
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ADVOCARE LAW OFFICE

ADVOKATFIRMAN NOVA

ARAMIS

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BEAUCHAMPS SOLICITORS

BIRD & BIRD

DANNEMANN SIEMSEN ADVOGADOS

THE DWYER GROUP

GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS SLP

GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC

GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

HOGAN LOVELLS (SOUTH AFRICA)

K&K ADVOCATES

LADM LIESEGANG AYMANS DECKER MITTELSTAEDT & PARTNER

MST LAWYERS

NIXON PEABODY LLP

NOBLES

PLESNER LAW FIRM

PORZIO, RÍOS & ASOCIADOS

SARAH CHARLES – PRACTICAL STRATEGY

SMITH & HENDERSON

STEWART GERMANN LAW OFFICE

STRELIA

SUBIDO PAGENTE CERTEZA MENDOZA & BINAY (SPCMB) LAW FIRM

TAY & PARTNERS



# CONTENTS

---

<b>Editor's Preface</b>	.....vii
<i>Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 1</b>	WHAT IS FRANCHISING?.....1
<i>Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 2</b>	FRANCHISING AS PART OF AN INTERNATIONAL MULTICHANNEL STRATEGY.....3
<i>Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 3</b>	THE REGULATION OF FRANCHISING AROUND THE WORLD.....8
<i>Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 4</b>	COMMERCIAL PLANNING.....29
<i>Sarah Charles</i>	
<b>Chapter 5</b>	SUSTAINING RELATIONSHIPS.....37
<i>Steven Frost and Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 6</b>	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.....45
<i>Allan Poulter and Robert Williams</i>	
<b>Chapter 7</b>	DATA PROTECTION .....52
<i>Ruth Boardman, Francis Aldhouse and Elizabeth Upton</i>	
<b>Chapter 8</b>	TAX CONSIDERATIONS.....60
<i>Mathew Oliver</i>	
<b>Chapter 9</b>	TITLE TRADE SECRETS AND FRANCHISING.....110
<i>Warren Wayne and Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 10</b>	RESOLVING INTERNATIONAL FRANCHISE DISPUTES .....119
<i>Victoria Hobbs</i>	

<b>Chapter 11</b>	E-COMMERCE AND FRANCHISING .....	133
	<i>Ben Hughes</i>	
<b>Chapter 12</b>	THE COMPETITION LAW OF THE EUROPEAN UNION .....	139
	<i>Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 13</b>	EDITOR'S GLOBAL OVERVIEW .....	141
	<i>Mark Abell</i>	
<b>Chapter 14</b>	GCC OVERVIEW .....	148
	<i>Melissa Murray</i>	
<b>Chapter 15</b>	AUSTRALIA.....	159
	<i>Philip Colman</i>	
<b>Chapter 16</b>	AUSTRIA .....	180
	<i>Eckhard Flobr</i>	
<b>Chapter 17</b>	BELGIUM.....	195
	<i>Olivier Clevenbergh, Jean-Pierre Fierens, Noémie Verborgh and Eric-Gérald Lang</i>	
<b>Chapter 18</b>	BRAZIL .....	208
	<i>Cândida Ribeiro Caffé, Rafael Atab de Araujo, Mariana Reis Abenza, Fernanda Souto Pacheco and Juliana Bussade Monteiro de Barros</i>	
<b>Chapter 19</b>	CANADA .....	227
	<i>Peter Snell</i>	
<b>Chapter 20</b>	CHILE.....	236
	<i>Cristóbal Porzio</i>	
<b>Chapter 21</b>	CHINA.....	250
	<i>Sven-Michael Werner</i>	
<b>Chapter 22</b>	CZECH REPUBLIC.....	262
	<i>Vojtěch Chloupek</i>	
<b>Chapter 23</b>	DENMARK.....	273
	<i>Jacob Ørskov Rasmussen</i>	

<b>Chapter 24</b>	FINLAND .....	286
	<i>Patrick Lindgren</i>	
<b>Chapter 25</b>	FRANCE .....	298
	<i>Raphaël Mellerio</i>	
<b>Chapter 26</b>	GERMANY .....	309
	<i>Stefan Engels and Bahne Sievers</i>	
<b>Chapter 27</b>	HONG KONG .....	315
	<i>Michelle Chan and Alex Wong</i>	
<b>Chapter 28</b>	HUNGARY .....	324
	<i>Péter Rippel-Szabó and Bettina Kövecses</i>	
<b>Chapter 29</b>	INDIA .....	338
	<i>Nipun Gupta, Divya Sharma and Mumuksha Singh</i>	
<b>Chapter 30</b>	INDONESIA.....	352
	<i>Risti Wulansari</i>	
<b>Chapter 31</b>	IRELAND.....	364
	<i>Imelda Reynolds</i>	
<b>Chapter 32</b>	ITALY .....	382
	<i>Claudia Ricciardi</i>	
<b>Chapter 33</b>	MALAYSIA.....	396
	<i>Lin Li Lee</i>	
<b>Chapter 34</b>	MEXICO.....	417
	<i>Jorge Mondragón</i>	
<b>Chapter 35</b>	NETHERLANDS .....	433
	<i>Hans E Urlus</i>	
<b>Chapter 36</b>	NEW ZEALAND .....	451
	<i>Stewart Germann</i>	
<b>Chapter 37</b>	PHILIPPINES .....	463
	<i>Claro F Certeza</i>	

<b>Chapter 38</b>	POLAND .....	484
	<i>Maciej Gawroński</i>	
<b>Chapter 39</b>	RUSSIA.....	495
	<i>Margarita Divina</i>	
<b>Chapter 40</b>	SINGAPORE .....	512
	<i>Sheena Jacob, Angelique Chan and Just Wang</i>	
<b>Chapter 41</b>	SOUTH AFRICA.....	524
	<i>Ian Jacobsberg, Charles van Staden, Janine Reddi, Phillip Lourens and Cara Shahim</i>	
<b>Chapter 42</b>	SPAIN .....	538
	<i>Mónica Esteve Sanz, Remedios García Gómez de Zamora and Bárbara Sainz de Vicuña Lapetra</i>	
<b>Chapter 43</b>	SWEDEN .....	552
	<i>Anders Fernlund</i>	
<b>Chapter 44</b>	UKRAINE .....	561
	<i>Volodymyr Yakubovskyy and Graeme Payne</i>	
<b>Chapter 45</b>	UNITED KINGDOM.....	571
	<i>Graeme Payne</i>	
<b>Chapter 46</b>	UNITED STATES .....	592
	<i>Steven Feirman, Sara Farber, Gina McCreddie, Keri McWilliams, Vincent Napoleon, Kendal Tyre and Diana Vilmenay</i>	
<b>Chapter 47</b>	CASE STUDY – THE DWYER GROUP .....	614
	<i>Duke Johnston</i>	
<b>Chapter 48</b>	DISPUTE RESOLUTION APPENDIX .....	618
	<i>Fidel Porcuna</i>	
<b>Appendix 1</b>	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	621
<b>Appendix 2</b>	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS’ CONTACT DETAILS.....	647

# EDITOR'S PREFACE

---

Since the publication of the first edition of *The Franchise Law Review*, there have been some significant economic and geopolitical developments that have had a significant impact on world trade. The apparently inexorable march towards the globalisation of commerce, however, has again continued unabated despite, or perhaps even because of, these changes.

Despite the slow emergence of a few economic bright spots, the economy of what was once called the 'developed' world continues on the most part to struggle, while even Brazil – one of the much-vaunted BRICS nations – has fallen into recession. As a consequence, businesses are often presented with little choice but to look to more vibrant markets in Asia, the Middle East and Africa for their future growth.

At the same time South–South trade is on the increase, perhaps at the expense of its North–South counterpart. All of this, coupled with the unstable wider geopolitical landscape, presents business with only one near certainty: there will be continued deleveraging of businesses in the coming years and, thus, growing barriers to international growth for many of them. All but the most substantial and well-structured of such businesses may find themselves facing not only significant difficulties due to their reduced access to funding to invest in their foreign ventures, but also challenges arising from their lack of managerial experience and bandwidth.

Franchising, in its various forms, continues to present businesses with one way of achieving profitable and successful international growth without the need for either substantial capital investment or a broad managerial infrastructure. In sectors as diverse as food and beverages, retail, hospitality, education, health care and financial services, it continues to be a popular catalyst for international commerce and makes a strong and effective contribution to world trade. We are even seeing governments turning to it as an effective strategy for the future of the welfare state as social franchising gains still more traction as a way of achieving key social objectives.

Given the positive role that franchising can make in the world economy it is important that legal practitioners have an appropriate understanding of how it is

regulated around the globe. This book provides an introduction to the basic elements of international franchising and an overview of the way that it is regulated in 32 jurisdictions.

As will be apparent from the chapters of this book, there continues to be no homogenous approach to the regulation of franchising around the world. Some countries specifically regulate particular aspects of the franchising relationship. Of these, a number try to ensure an appropriate level of pre-contractual hygiene, while others focus instead on imposing mandatory terms upon the franchise relationship. Some do both. In certain countries there is a requirement to register certain documents in a public register. Others restrict the manner in which third parties can be involved in helping franchisors to meet potential franchisees. No two countries regulate franchising in the same way. Even those countries that have a well-developed regulatory environment seem unable to resist the temptation to continually develop and change their approaches to regulation – as is well illustrated by the new changes in the Australian regulations.

Many countries do not have franchise-specific regulation, but nevertheless strictly regulate certain aspects of the franchise relationship through the complex interplay of more general legal concepts such as antitrust law, intellectual property rights and the doctrine of good faith. This heterogeneous approach to the regulation of franchising presents yet another barrier to its use as a catalyst for international growth.

This book certainly does not present readers with a full answer to all the questions they may have about franchising in all the countries covered – that would require far more pages than it is possible to include in this one volume. It does, however, try to provide the reader with a high-level understanding of the challenges involved in international franchising in the first section and then, in the second section, explain how these basic themes are reflected in the regulatory environment within each of the countries covered.

I should extend my thanks to all of those who have helped with the preparation of this book, in particular Graeme Payne, Victoria Hobbs, Caroline Flambard and Melissa Murray, who have invested a great deal of time and effort in making it a work of which all those involved can be proud.

It is hoped that this publication will prove to be a useful and often-consulted guide to all those involved in international franchising, but needless to say it is not a substitute for taking expert advice from practitioners qualified in the relevant jurisdiction.

**Mark Abell**

Bird & Bird LLP

London

February 2015

## Chapter 33

---

# MALAYSIA

*Lin Li Lee*<sup>1</sup>

### I INTRODUCTION

The Malaysian government has been an active proponent of franchising as a business model. In 2013, the franchising market in Malaysia contributed about 2.5 per cent of the Malaysian gross domestic product (GDP) or 24.6 billion ringgit and it is expected the franchise industry to contribute 25.6 billion ringgit to the GDP in 2014.<sup>2</sup> This growth is anticipated to contribute close to 9.4 per cent of Malaysian GDP by 2020.<sup>3</sup>

The Ministry of Domestic Trade, Consumerism and Cooperatives (MDTCC) has been charged with monitoring the continued growth of the franchising sector in Malaysia. These efforts have culminated in the enactment of the Franchise Act 1998 (amended in 2012) (the Act) and the establishment of the Franchise Registry.

Foreign franchisors, particularly those with unique franchise models and concepts, are actively encouraged to enter into the Malaysian market. As at 28 May 2014, there were 726 registered franchise business from various sectors in Malaysia.<sup>4</sup>

---

1 Lin Li Lee is a partner at Tay & Partners.

2 <http://malaysianlaw.my/iplaw/news/franchise-industry-contributed-rm24-6-billion-to-gdp-in-2013-MY11160.html>.

3 Speech by YB Dato Sri Ismail Sabri Bin Yaakob, the Minister of Domestic Trade, Cooperatives and Consumerism in the launch of the MyFex Online Registration System dated 29 May 2012 at PWTC, Kuala Lumpur. See [www.franchisemdtcc.gov.my/web/guest/pelancaran-sistem-atas-talian-myfex-dan-penyampaian-lesen-francais-29-mei-2012?p\\_p\\_id=56\\_INSTANCE\\_1MkD&p\\_p\\_lifecycle=0&p\\_p\\_state=normal&p\\_p\\_mode=view&p\\_p\\_col\\_id=column-3&p\\_p\\_col\\_pos=1&p\\_p\\_col\\_count=2&page=1](http://www.franchisemdtcc.gov.my/web/guest/pelancaran-sistem-atas-talian-myfex-dan-penyampaian-lesen-francais-29-mei-2012?p_p_id=56_INSTANCE_1MkD&p_p_lifecycle=0&p_p_state=normal&p_p_mode=view&p_p_col_id=column-3&p_p_col_pos=1&p_p_col_count=2&page=1).

4 [www.mida.gov.my/home/2171/news/franchise-industry-contributes-considerably-to-the-economy/](http://www.mida.gov.my/home/2171/news/franchise-industry-contributes-considerably-to-the-economy/).

The Malaysian government, in recognition of the revenue streams that franchising models offer, has actively promoted the growth of domestic franchises. This support has been in the form of state grants, soft loans and business education programmes organised by the MDTCC and Perbadanan Nasional Berhad (PNS). PNS falls within the joint purview of the Ministry of Finance and the MDTCC. PNS is charged with spearheading the growth of the local franchise industry and assisting its expansion abroad, allocating and managing grants and offering business support in the form of franchise consultation and other advisory services. These efforts have led to significant growth in the number of local franchisors in the past decade.

As of July 2012, there were approximately 426 local franchisors, comprising approximately 63 per cent of all franchises in Malaysia. They represent the following sectors:<sup>5</sup>

- a* food and beverages: 34 per cent;
- b* clothing and accessories: 12 per cent;
- c* services and maintenance: 11 per cent;
- d* education: 11 per cent;
- e* health and beauty care: 10 per cent;
- f* electronics businesses: 4 per cent;
- g* supermarkets: 3 per cent; and
- h* others: 15 per cent.

Local franchisors have also been encouraged to expand their businesses abroad and many have successfully established franchises in China, South-East Asia, the Middle East, India and Australia.

The Malaysian Franchise Association (MFA) was formed in 1994. It has a wide membership base that includes representatives from the franchising industry, service providers such as accountants, franchise consultants and bankers, and government bodies. All members are required to abide by the MFA's 'Code of Ethics of a Professional Conduct of Franchise Practitioners'.<sup>6</sup> The MFA maintains professional and ethical standards by enforcing this code of practice among its members.

The MFA serves as a resource centre for franchisors and franchisees, the media and the public. It also acts as a networking and discussion forum between the private sector franchise industry and government agencies.

---

5 List of Approved Registration by Sector by the Franchise Registry. See [www.franchisemdtcc.gov.my/web/guest/statistik-francais?p\\_p\\_id=56\\_INSTANCE\\_1MkD&p\\_p\\_lifecycle=0&p\\_p\\_state=normal&p\\_p\\_mode=view&p\\_p\\_col\\_id=column-3&p\\_p\\_col\\_pos=1&p\\_p\\_col\\_count=2&page=4](http://www.franchisemdtcc.gov.my/web/guest/statistik-francais?p_p_id=56_INSTANCE_1MkD&p_p_lifecycle=0&p_p_state=normal&p_p_mode=view&p_p_col_id=column-3&p_p_col_pos=1&p_p_col_count=2&page=4).

6 'Franchise Development in Malaysia', by the MDTCC. See [www.franchisemdtcc.gov.my/c/document\\_library/get\\_file?uuid=0fc6897c-81c3-424a-ac4f-d4cc5d9f30a5&groupId=13905](http://www.franchisemdtcc.gov.my/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=0fc6897c-81c3-424a-ac4f-d4cc5d9f30a5&groupId=13905).



## II MARKET ENTRY

### i Restrictions

Prior to the introduction of the Act, parties were free to negotiate their own terms and were governed by contract and common law. This allowed for a higher degree of flexibility. The franchising industry is now regulated by the Act (as amended in 2012), which places greater restrictions on foreign franchisors and introduces criminal liability for certain acts.

Section 54 of the Act requires that all foreign franchisors intending to set up franchises or enter into franchise agreements with any Malaysian citizen obtain prior approval from the Franchise Registry. Applications for registration are required to be supported by documents that include:<sup>7</sup>

- a* a sample franchise agreement;
- b* a certified copy of the applicant's certificate of incorporation and change in shareholder name, if applicable;
- c* details of the franchise concept, including the uniqueness of the brand's franchise system and franchise experience;
- d* official declaration from the Insolvency Department that the Board of Directors are free from bankruptcy;
- e* a certified copy of the registration certificate of its trademarks issued by the Intellectual Property Corporation of Malaysia (MyIPO) (or proof of an application for such registration);
- f* the company's brochure; and photographs of the proposed outlets; and
- g* certified true copy of the audited accounts from 2011 to 2013.

Decisions are communicated by the Franchise Registrar in writing and such approvals remain in place until the Registrar issues an order suspending, terminating, prohibiting or refusing the sale and registration of the franchise as permitted under the Act or where an applicant or franchisor seeks a cancellation of the approval.

Apart from Section 54 of the Act, there are no restrictions placed on foreign franchisors with regard to granting a master franchise or development rights to a local franchisee. The appointed franchisee is required to apply to the Franchise Registry for a franchise registration<sup>8</sup> before business operations can commence.

Foreign franchisors are permitted to acquire equity in local businesses and real property subject to certain conditions.

Franchises with foreign equity must be incorporated locally, either as a subsidiary, a representative or branch office to carry on business in Malaysia.

All distributive trades, which includes retailers, wholesalers, franchise practitioners and direct selling businesses, are required to obtain approval from the MDTCC before

---

7 A checklist for Registration under Section 54 of the Franchise Act 1998 is provided on [www.myfex.gov.my/](http://www.myfex.gov.my/).

8 Section 6A of the Franchise Act 1998.

they are able to operate in Malaysia and are required to comply with the MDTCC's Guidelines on Foreign Participation in the Distributive Trade Services.<sup>9</sup>

These Guidelines are extensive and cover the following:

- a* acquisitions, mergers or takeovers by foreign participation;
- b* the opening, relocation or expansion of branches or outlets or chain stores; and
- c* real property transactions relating to distributive trade activities (prior to obtaining other necessary approvals or licences from local authorities and other government agencies).

In addition, all distributive trades with foreign equity are required to:

- a* appoint a Bumiputera director or directors. Bumiputera is a local term that refers to the indigenous peoples of Malaysia encompassing ethnic Malays and other minority indigenous ethnic groups;
- b* hire personnel at all levels, including management, to reflect the racial composition of the Malaysian population;
- c* formulate clear policies and plans to assist Bumiputera participation in the distributive trade sector;
- d* utilise local airports and ports in the export and import of their goods;
- e* utilise local companies for legal and other professional services;
- f* submit annual financial reports to the MDTCC;
- g* comply with all local authority by-laws and regulations; and
- h* ensure that persons with disabilities make up at least 1 per cent of their total hypermarket workforce (in relation to franchises operating in the hypermarket sector).

The MDTCC Guidelines also impose specific conditions on franchises operating in certain industry sectors. These include:

- a* for businesses operating in the hypermarket sector, a minimum capital requirement of 50 million ringgit and a requirement for a minimum 30 per cent of equity to be held by Bumiputeras;
- b* in the department store sector, a minimum capital requirement of 20 million ringgit;
- c* for specialist stores serving an exclusive brand name, product or line of goods, a minimum capital requirement of 1 million ringgit.

Generally, in an effort to promote local participation, government agencies are actively tasked with encouraging the formation of joint ventures between Malaysians and foreign investors instead of allowing wholly foreign-owned corporations to operate independently.

Pursuant to the National Land Code, a foreigner is required to obtain prior approval from the relevant state authority for transactions regarding real property. Any

---

9 'Guidelines on Foreign Participation in the Distributive Trade Services', by the MDTCC'; see [www.kpdnkk.gov.my/kpdnkk-theme/images/pdf/WRT\\_Guideline.pdf](http://www.kpdnkk.gov.my/kpdnkk-theme/images/pdf/WRT_Guideline.pdf).

property transactions without such formal approval are rendered void.<sup>10</sup> In addition to the requirements of the National Land Code, there are further restrictions in place and these are, broadly, as follows:

- a* foreigners are generally prohibited from purchasing properties valued at below 500,000 ringgit;<sup>11</sup>
- b* where the property is valued at or above 500,000 ringgit, there are generally no restrictions, but where a property is valued at over 20 million ringgit and is acquired from a Bumiputera, prior approval will be required from the Economic Planning Unit.<sup>12</sup>

**ii Foreign exchange and tax**

*Foreign exchange*

Foreign exchange issues are governed by the Foreign Exchange Administrative Rules issued by the Central Bank of Malaysia (BNM) and the Financial Services Act 2013 (FSA).<sup>13</sup>

Residents<sup>14</sup> and non-residents<sup>15</sup> are defined within Section 213 of the FSA. The Malaysian market is generally easily accessible by foreign investors. Payments for investments can be made in a foreign currency or in Malaysian ringgit. Non-residents are free to remit divestment proceeds, profits, dividends or any income arising from investments in Malaysia abroad. Any repatriation of funds must, however, be made in foreign currency. Non-residents are also free to:

- a* open ringgit or foreign currency accounts in Malaysia;
- b* obtain credit facilities in Malaysia in ringgit or foreign currency;
- c* obtain loans of any amount from Malaysia residents to finance the purchase of immoveable properties in Malaysia;<sup>16</sup> and
- d* enter into foreign exchange contracts on a spot or forward basis with onshore licensed banks to hedge investments in Malaysia.

---

10 Section 433A, 433B and 433C of the National Land Code 1965.

11 'Guidelines on the Acquisition of Properties 2011', by the Malaysian Economic Planning Unit; see [www.epu.gov.my/en/c/document\\_library/get\\_file?uuid=8a9944eb-509e-4ab6-82a0-db5a16bfcdcd&groupId=283545](http://www.epu.gov.my/en/c/document_library/get_file?uuid=8a9944eb-509e-4ab6-82a0-db5a16bfcdcd&groupId=283545).

12 Ibid.

13 BNM press release: 'Financial Services Act 2013 and Islamic Financial Services Act 2013 Come into Force'. See [www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch=en\\_press&pg=en\\_press\\_all&ac=2837](http://www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch=en_press&pg=en_press_all&ac=2837); in this press release, the BNM confirmed that all prevailing foreign exchange rules in operation prior to the Financial Services Act 2013 would remain in force.

14 Section 213 of the Financial Services Act 2013. Residents include Malaysian citizens, foreign citizens who have been accorded permanent residence status and who reside in Malaysia, and companies incorporated in Malaysia.

15 Section 213 of the Financial Services Act 2013. Non-residents include foreign citizens, foreign embassies or high commissions, supranationals, Malaysian citizens who have acquired permanent residence status abroad and who reside abroad, and foreign corporate entities.

16 [www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch=8&pg=14&ac=1406](http://www.bnm.gov.my/index.php?ch=8&pg=14&ac=1406).

Non-resident travellers may:

- a* bring in or take with them, Malaysian currency up to the value of US\$10,000; and
- b* bring in any amount of foreign currency, including traveller's cheques,.

### *Tax*

Non-resident companies are subjected to withholding tax on royalty payments derived from within Malaysia. This imposes a legal obligation on the party or franchisee making the payment to a non-resident to withhold tax at source and remit it to the Inland Revenue Board of Malaysia (IRBM) within a specified period (usually within a month from the date of the payment of royalties).<sup>17</sup>

## **III INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY**

### **i Brand search**

The registration of intellectual property rights (IPRs) such as, trademarks, patents and industrial designs is administered by MyIPO. Generally, the primary IPRs relevant to the franchising industry are those regarding trademarks. It is prudent for a franchisor seeking to enter into the Malaysian market to undertake a prior search against the databases maintained by MyIPO to ascertain whether there are any potential conflicts. Similar searches may be conducted with regard to other IPRs such as design, copyright or patents.

Following the launch of an online search portal by MyIPO in 2010, all searches are now required to be conducted online.<sup>18</sup> However, as the online search portal is only limited to word mark searches (for the purposes of trademark searches), the search results may not be comprehensive. It is, therefore, advisable to conduct more thorough searches (which also incorporate the logos and devices used within a trademark), using the online facilities provided at the Central Registry at MyIPO. Such searches will attract further fees, but they may provide more comprehensive search results.

MyIPO also maintains databases for granted patents and registered industrial designs, all of which are open to public search and inspection and which can similarly be searched using the MyIPO online search portal.<sup>19</sup> As with trademarks, however, it is advisable to conduct a search at the Central Registry as the online search portal does not search against applications that are pending grant or registration.

It is also advisable for franchisors to make a further search against the database for registered companies and businesses maintained by the Companies Commission of Malaysia (CCM). The CCM is charged with approving applications for, and maintaining, the registration of companies and businesses in Malaysia. As the CCM does not, as a matter of practice, conduct any searches with MyIPO, a registered trademark may well be registered by a third party as a company name. In such instance, and in the absence

---

17 Section 109(1) of the Income Tax Act 1967, and the Income Tax (Deduction for Expenditure on Franchise Fee) Rules 2012.

18 See <https://iponline.myipo.gov.my/ipo/main/search.cfm>.

19 Ibid.

of any direct settlement with the company or business concerned, the only recourse available to a trademark owner is to commence court proceedings for the alteration of the registered company or business name.

## ii Brand protection

Section 24 of the Act requires a franchisor to register any trademarks relevant to his franchise prior to applying for registration with the Franchise Registry. However, as trademark registrations take time, the Franchise Registry usually accepts proof of filing for registration in place of a certificate confirming the registration of the marks.

The trademark filing process is summarised below:

- a* First, the prescribed application form setting out the details of the trademark applied for, together with the prescribed fee, has to be submitted to MyIPO. While applications for registration can be filed online, a foreign trademark owner will need to provide a local address for service. Each application is limited to one mark and to one class of goods or services. As such, where the trademark proprietor wishes to benefit from protection in more than one class of goods or services or register several different marks, separate applications will be required.
- b* The trademark applicant is also required to file a statutory declaration attesting, on oath, his or her *bona fide* claim to ownership of the trademark.
- c* The application is then examined by appointed examiners, who are tasked to determine whether the trademark complies with the requirements of the Malaysian Trade Marks Act 1976 (TMA). Both, relative and absolute grounds of registration, ranging from distinctiveness of the mark to potential conflict with other registered marks will be considered.
- d* At this stage, the examiner may either raise objections in the form of an 'office action' or issue a notice of acceptance.
- e* When an 'office action' is issued, the applicant is given two months to deal with the objections raised through written submissions. Extensions of time to respond are permissible upon the payment of prescribed fees. If an examiner, having considered the applicant's written submissions, maintains the initial objections, the applicant has an opportunity to appeal, at an *ex parte* oral hearing, to a different examiner (the hearing officer). If the hearing officer maintains the objections, the applicant has the right to lodge an appeal with the High Court and thereafter, there is a final recourse and appeal to the Court of Appeal.
- f* When an examiner approves the application or an applicant successfully overcomes any objections raised by the examiner, the Registrar issues a notice of acceptance, with directions requiring the payment of the registration fees and the advertisement of the trademark application in the Government Gazette for two months. Any aggrieved person may, during this two-month period, lodge an opposition against the registration of the trademark.
- g* If no oppositions are filed, a certificate of registration is issued. The date of application is adopted as the date of registration and the initial registration is valid for a period of 10 years. The trademark has to be renewed every 10 years, or else the mark is deemed expired and removed from the Register.

- b* A registered trademark is also vulnerable to cancellation on the grounds of non-use if there has been no continuous use of the trademark, in good faith, for a period of three years up to one month prior to the date of application seeking the cancellation of the registration.

### iii Enforcement

The main IPRs applicable to a franchise are trademarks, confidential information or trade secrets, know-how and copyright. In certain businesses, other IPRs such as industrial designs and patents may also be applicable.

A registered proprietor has recourse under the relevant legislation or common law to seek relief for the infringement of any of his or her IPRs. Infringement actions in respect of trademarks, copyright, industrial designs and patents have to be initiated in the High Court. Other rights such as breach of confidentiality or trade secrets or passing off may also be initiated in the Sessions Court (a subordinate court), if the claim for damages does not exceed 1 million ringgit.<sup>20</sup> Both the High Court and Sessions Court have jurisdiction to grant interim or permanent injunctive and specific reliefs.<sup>21</sup> The usual reliefs sought in civil actions for infringement are orders for the delivery up of the infringing articles, injunctions prohibiting further infringing acts, damages or an account of profits and legal or taxed costs (the standard reliefs).

Trademarks are protected by the registration of the marks pursuant to the TMA or pursuant to common law rights such as passing off. Where a trademark is infringed, a registered proprietor or an exclusive licensee of the trademark may commence a civil action pursuant to the TMA<sup>22</sup> and where the mark has been used in Malaysia such that there is goodwill and reputation associated with it, there is additional recourse under common law for passing off. The standard reliefs are usually available in such actions.

Additionally, a registered proprietor may have recourse to administrative relief, in the form of border protection measures to prevent the importation of counterfeit goods, and criminal remedies pursuant to the Trade Descriptions Act 2011<sup>23</sup> whereby the Enforcement Division of the MDTCC may be moved to initiate a raid, seize infringing or counterfeit goods and to criminally prosecute the persons responsible.

Know-how, trade secrets and confidential information are protected through covenants imposed by Section 26 of the Act, contract law and pursuant to common law.

Pursuant to Section 26 of the Act, a franchisee is required to provide the franchisor a guarantee that the franchisee, including its directors and employees, their spouses and immediate family may not disclose any information contained in the training or operation manual or information obtained from the franchisor during the term of franchise agreement. Failure to provide such guarantee is tantamount to a criminal offence under the Act.

---

20 Section 65(1)(b) of the Subordinate Courts Act 1948.

21 Section 65(1)(c) and (5)(a) of the Subordinate Courts Act 1948 and Section 25(2) of the Courts of Judicature Act 1964.

22 Section 38 of the Trade Marks Act 1976.

23 Section 8 of the Trade Descriptions Act 2011.

In addition, where these obligations of confidence are set out in the franchise agreement or a confidentiality agreement or undertaking, a franchisor will also have recourse to a civil action for breach of confidentiality under contract and common law.

While there is no compulsory registration system for copyright in Malaysia, it is possible to provide voluntary notification of copyright ownership to the MyIPO. A work is eligible for copyright protection upon the fulfilment of certain conditions, which include labour, skill and judgement to make the work original, where the work is reduced in material form, written down or recorded and the author is a Malaysian or the work is produced or made in Malaysia. Works that are protected under copyright include literary, musical, artistic or dramatic works.<sup>24</sup>

When a copyright work is infringed, the proprietor of the copyrighted work may initiate a civil action and seek injunctive reliefs similar to the standard reliefs and, in addition, may seek statutory damages of not less than 25,000 ringgit for each work and not more than 500,000 ringgit on aggregate.<sup>25</sup> The copyright owner may also have recourse to criminal remedies provided under the Copyright Act 1987<sup>26</sup> and the Enforcement Division of the MDTCC may be requested to conduct a raid, seize any offending works or bring a criminal prosecution accordingly.

Similarly, if other IPRs, such as patents<sup>27</sup> or industrial designs,<sup>28</sup> registered in Malaysia are concerned, the franchisor will have similar recourse to the civil remedies discussed above for any infringement.

#### **iv Data protection, cybercrime, social media and e-commerce**

The Personal Data Protection Act 2010 (PDPA) regulates personal data that is processed and maintained in relation to commercial transactions.

Personal data encompasses information in relation to commercial transactions that relates directly or indirectly to a data subject who is identified or identifiable from that information or other information in the possession of the data user, and includes any expressions of opinion relating to the data subject. 'Data subject' refers to an individual who is the subject of the personal data.

Commercial transactions include any transaction of a commercial nature, whether contractual or otherwise, which includes any matter relating to the supply or exchange of goods or services, agency, investments, financing, banking and insurance. The PDPA will therefore be applicable in the context of a franchise as personal information collected will be in relation to a commercial transaction.

The PDPA requires consent from a data subject prior to any collection or processing of personal information from the data subject; however, such requirement is exempted if the collection and processing of personal information is necessary for the performance of a contract.

---

24 Section 7 of the Copyright Act 1987.

25 Section 37 of the Copyright Act 1987.

26 Section 41 and Part VII of the Copyright Act 1987.

27 Section 60 of the Patents Act 1983.

28 Section 35 of the Industrial Designs Act 1996.

Compliance is required with other principles, including, briefly, the following:

- a* The Notice and Choice Principle requires the data user to provide notice to the data subject that personal data is being processed and the purpose of such processing. The notice must be given in writing and provided in both the national language (Bahasa Malaysia) and in English.
- b* The Disclosure Principle prohibits the disclosure of personal information to third parties or disclosure for purposes other than for the original purpose, except with the consent of the data subject.
- c* The Security Principle imposes an obligation on the data user to keep the personal information secure from unauthorised use, disclosure, etc.
- d* The Retention Principle prevents personal information from being kept longer than is necessary.
- e* The Data Integrity Principle ensures that the personal information collected and kept is accurate and up to date.
- f* The Access Principle gives the data subject access to the personal information provided to update or correct any information provided to the data subject.

The PDPA also prevents transfer of personal data outside Malaysia to countries not specified by the Minister.<sup>29</sup>

Transfer of personal data to countries other than those specified is allowed under the following circumstances:

- a* the data subject has given consent;
- b* the transfer is necessary for the performance of a contract between the data subject and data user;
- c* the transfer is necessary for the conclusion or performance of a contract between the data user and a third party that:
  - is entered into at the request of the data subject; or
  - is in the interests of the data subject;
- d* the transfer is for the purpose of any legal proceedings, for obtaining legal advice, exercising or defending legal rights;
- e* the data user has reasonable grounds to believe that in all circumstances of the case:
  - the transfer is for the avoidance or mitigation of adverse action against the data subject;
  - it is not practicable to obtain the consent in writing of the data subject to that transfer; and
  - if it were practicable to obtain such consent, the data subject would have given his or her consent;
- f* the data user has taken all reasonable precautions and exercised all due diligence to ensure that the personal data will not, in the place to which it is to be transferred,

---

<sup>29</sup> No such countries have been specified as yet. However, such countries are likely to be those having substantially similar laws to the PDPA and with an adequate level of protection in place in relation to the processing of personal data.



be processed in any manner that would have contravened the PDPA had the data been processed in Malaysia;

- g* the transfer is necessary to protect the vital interests of the data subject; and
- h* the transfer is necessary as being in the public interest.

In addition to the PDPA, franchisors should generally be aware of other Malaysian legislation that regulates matters relating to cybercrime, e-commerce and social media. In brief:

- a* the Computer Crimes Act 1997 sets out offences relating to the misuse of computers including unauthorised access to a computer, unauthorised access with intent to commit other crime and also the communication of information, such as passwords, to unauthorised persons;
- b* the Electronic Commerce Act 2006 provides a legal framework for and recognises the use of electronic messages in commercial transactions and the use of such messages to fulfil legal requirements and to enable and facilitate legal transactions; and
- c* the Communications and Multimedia Act 1998 and the Malaysian Content Code regulates the provision of content, including online content, and applies to persons within and outside Malaysia if the content is made available in Malaysia. Compliance with the Content Code is generally voluntary unless the Communications and Multimedia Commission issues mandatory compliance directions.

## **IV FRANCHISE LAW**

### **i Legislation**

The Act (as amended in 2012) provides a dedicated legislative framework relating to the franchising industry. It provides for the registration of franchises and regulates franchises, including setting out mandatory terms and conditions that have to be contained within a franchise agreement. Contravention of the Act may render a franchise agreement void or expose a franchisor or franchisee to criminal penalties.

Apart from complying with the Act, franchisors and franchisees should also be aware of other relevant legislation such as the Contracts Act 1950, the TMA (and legislation relating to the protection of other IPRs as set out above), the Employment Act 1955 and the Competition Act 2010.

### **ii Pre-contractual disclosure**

Specific pre-contractual disclosure is covered within the Act and it may also generally fall within the scope of the Contracts Act 1950 and common law.

The Act requires a franchisor to provide disclosure documents to a prospective franchisee at least 10 days before the franchisee signs the franchise agreement.<sup>30</sup> The information required to be disclosed to the franchisee includes:

---

30 Section 15(1) of the Franchise Act 1998.

- a* description of the franchise business;
- b* details of intellectual property rights, fees and payments required from the franchisee;
- c* financial obligations;
- d* whether the franchisee is required to purchase equipment or products;
- e* whether the franchisee is required to purchase or obtain supplies or materials from a designated source;
- f* territorial rights;
- g* franchise terms, including terms for renewal and termination, obligations upon termination; and
- h* audited accounts of the franchisor's business (at present, the Franchise Registry requires a minimum of three years' audited accounts).

In the event that the information within the disclosure documents is false or misleading, the franchisor may be liable to both criminal and civil action under the Act. It is a criminal offence under the Act to make false statements or omissions that render the information within the disclosure documents misleading.<sup>31</sup>

Section 39 of the Act sets out the applicable penalties if a person is found guilty of an offence under the Act, where no express penalties have been specified. Upon conviction, a person will be liable:<sup>32</sup>

- a* for the first offence under the Act, to pay a fine of not less than 5,000 ringgit and no more than 25,000 ringgit or to imprisonment of more than six months; and
- b* for the second or any subsequent offences, a fine of not less than 10,000 ringgit and no more than 50,000 ringgit or imprisonment of no more than one year.

Further, the court has the power to declare the franchise agreement null and void and may order the franchisor to refund any payments made by the franchisee and the court may further prohibit the franchisor from entering into any new franchise agreements or from appointing any new franchisee.

In addition to the Act, an aggrieved party may also bring an action for damages for misrepresentation under tort law and, if proven, the court may rescind the franchise agreement. The Contracts Act 1950 also expressly provides for misrepresentation, which potentially renders the contract or agreement voidable at the option of the franchisee.<sup>33</sup>

The franchisor should therefore seek to expressly protect its position within the franchise agreement by ensuring that all projections of profits and revenue and pre-contractual information, where provided to the franchisee pursuant to the disclosure documents under the Act or during the course of negotiations leading to the franchise agreement, do not amount to legally binding representations, guarantees or warranties, to avoid any potential action for misrepresentation or a criminal prosecution under the Act.

---

31 Section 37 of the Franchise Act 1998.

32 Section 39 of the Franchise Act 1998.

33 Section 19(1) of the Contracts Act 1950.

**iii Registration**

There are four categories of registration under the Act, namely, registration by:

- a* a local franchisor before offering to sell its franchise to any party;
- b* a foreign franchisor intending to sell its franchise in Malaysia or to Malaysian citizens;
- c* the franchisee of a local franchisor; and
- d* the franchisee of a foreign franchisor.

*Local franchisor or master franchisee*

Section 6 of the Act (as amended in 2012), requires a local franchisor or a master franchisee of a foreign franchisor to register its franchise with the Franchise Registry before offering to sell its franchise to any party. A breach of this Section is an offence under the Act and the criminal penalty is stipulated under Section 39 of the Act as set out above.

The local franchisor is required to submit its application for registration together with all supporting documents, which include the disclosure documents, a sample of the franchise agreement, operation manual, the training manual, its latest audited accounts,<sup>34</sup> financial statements and the auditors' or directors' reports and any other information required by the Registrar.<sup>35</sup>

The Registrar may approve, impose conditions or reject the application and all such decisions are communicated in writing to the applicant. In the case of an adverse decision, the applicant may submit an appeal to the Minister within one month from the date of communication of the decision. The Minister's decision is final.<sup>36</sup>

*Foreign franchisor*

Section 54 of the Act requires a foreign franchisor apply for prior approval before being able to make offers to sell its franchise in Malaysia. The Registrar may approve, impose conditions on or refuse such an application, and the rights of appeal are as set out above.<sup>37</sup>

*Franchisee of a local franchisor*

Pursuant to Section 6B of the Act, a franchisee who is granted a franchise by a local franchisor is required to register the franchise with the Registrar within 14 days from the date of signing of the franchisee agreement between the franchisor and the franchisee.

*Franchisee of a foreign franchisor*

Upon entering into a franchise agreement with a local franchisee and prior to the commencement of operations, the franchisee of a foreign franchisor is required to make an application to register its franchise with the Registrar pursuant to Section 6A of the Act.

---

34 The Franchise Registry presently requires a minimum of the last three years' audited accounts to be submitted in support of such applications.

35 Section 7 of the Franchise Act 1998.

36 Section 17 of the Franchise Act 1998.

37 Ibid.

**iv Mandatory clauses**

The Act provides for the inclusion of certain mandatory clauses in a franchise agreement pursuant to Section 18 of the Act, failing which, a franchise agreement will be void. Section 18 provides that a franchise agreement will include:

- a* the name and description of the product and business of the franchisee;
- b* the territorial rights granted to the franchisee;
- c* the franchise fee, promotion fee, royalties, or any other related payment that may be imposed on the franchisee;
- d* the obligations of both the franchisor and franchisee;
- e* the franchisee's right to use the intellectual properties belonging to the franchisor;
- f* the conditions under which the franchisee may assign the rights given under the franchise;
- g* a statement confirming a cooling-off period of at least seven working days during which the franchisee may terminate the agreement and seek the refund of any franchise fee paid to the franchisor subject to reasonable expenses incurred in the preparation of the franchise agreement that may not be refundable;
- h* the type of assistance to be provided by the franchisor to the franchisee;
- i* the duration of the franchise (minimum five years) and the terms of renewal; and
- j* the effect of termination or expiration of the franchisee agreement.

Further, the franchisor is required to set up a promotion fund, managed under a separate account, if the franchisee is required to make any payment for the promotion of the franchise.<sup>38</sup>

**v Guarantees and protection**

The Act provides for the following written guarantees to be provided by a franchisee:

- a* the franchisee and its employees must not disclose any information contained in the operation manual or any information obtained while undergoing training organised by the franchisor during the whole of the franchise term and for a period of two years after the expiration of the franchise agreement;<sup>39</sup> and
- b* the franchisee and its employees must not carry out any other business similar to the franchised business for the whole of the franchise term and for a period of two years after the expiration of the agreement.<sup>40</sup>

Failure to provide the above guarantees amounts to an offence under the Act and the penalties are set out above (see Section IV.ii, *supra*).

In addition, any written guarantees given by a party will also be enforceable under contract and common law. In such circumstances, the franchisor or the aggrieved party may have the option of terminating the agreement and suing for damages for breach of the guarantees given. Alternatively, depending on the nature and extent of the guarantees,

---

38 Section 22 of the Franchise Act 1998.

39 Section 26 of the Franchise Act 1998.

40 Section 27 of the Franchise Act 1998.

the aggrieved party may choose instead to continue with the contractual relationship and claim for damages to put them in such a position as if the guarantees had been performed and fulfilled.

## V TAX

### i Franchisor tax liabilities

Tax liabilities generally arise in relation to any income derived within Malaysia. For a franchisor, this would include income arising from royalties, financial gains arising from dividends, or profits paid. Capital payments, such as lump-sum payments for the grant of a franchise or for the acquisition of a franchise, are normally not taxable. 'Capital payment' represents a payment made for the purchase of a capital asset for the enduring benefit of the business of the franchisee. Taxable income is defined within Section 4 of the Income Tax Act 1967.<sup>41</sup>

Different tax rates will apply depending on whether the franchise business is managed and owned through a company (thus attracting corporate tax rates) or if it is being managed as sole proprietorship (which attract rates payable by individuals).

Royalty payments arising from within Malaysia and payable to non-residents will be subjected to withholding tax at the rate of 10 per cent and must be paid within one month from paying or crediting the royalty.<sup>42</sup>

Apart from income taxes, the franchisor may also be subjected to other general tax liabilities such as stamp duties arising from the execution of the franchise agreement, applicable sales and service taxes.<sup>43</sup>

### ii Franchisee tax liabilities

Franchisees are similarly required to pay tax on taxable income;<sup>44</sup> apart from the income tax, the franchisee may also be subject to other general tax liabilities such as the applicable sales and service taxes.<sup>45</sup>

The expenses incurred by a franchisee in undertaking the franchise business – royalty payments, promotion fees, advertising costs, training fees and service fees – are tax deductible. Any franchise fee paid to a foreign franchisor is not, however, tax deductible. Franchise fees paid to a local franchisor, on the other hand, are now tax deductible, pursuant to the Income Tax (Deduction for Expenditure on Franchise Fee) Rules 2012.

---

41 Classes of income on which tax is chargeable pursuant to Section 4 of the Income Tax Act 1967 are gains or profits from a business; gains or profits from an employment; dividends, interests and discount, rents, royalties or premiums; pensions, annuities or other periodical payment not mentioned and other gains and profits not mentioned under Section 4.

42 Section 107(1) of the Income Tax Act 1967.

43 Please note that the goods and services tax will be implemented in April 2015 replacing the current sales and service tax; <http://gst.customs.gov.my/en/Pages/pgst.aspx>.

44 Ibid.

45 Ibid.

## VI IMPACT OF GENERAL LAW

### i Good faith and guarantees

Section 29 of the Act requires both franchisor and franchisee to act in an honest and lawful manner and requires them to endeavour to pursue the best franchise practice of the time and place.<sup>46</sup> However, the Act does not expressly stipulate any penalties for non-compliance. For the aggrieved party to bring an action for the breach of this statutory provision or duty, they must prove that the alleged breach has resulted in actual damage and that such damage was of a type contemplated as a foreseeable consequence of the breach.

The Malaysian courts do not recognise any duty of honesty or good faith in a contract where parties are free to negotiate terms at arm's length. The courts will recognise the principle of freedom of contract and uphold the terms in an agreement unless there are vitiating factors such as fraud, coercion, undue influence, misrepresentation or mistake. This was recently confirmed in *\*Aseambankers Malaysia Berhad & Ors v. Shencourt Sdn Bhd & Anor.*<sup>47</sup> The court held that if they were to allow the defendant's counterclaim on the grounds that there is a general duty of good faith, the courts would effectively be creating new law.

### ii Agency distributor model

Section 29(3) of the Act (as amended) requires the franchisee to operate its business separately from the franchisor and specifies that the relationship between the franchisee and the franchisor will not at any time be regarded as a partnership, service contract or agency. Thus, the agency model has no role to play in a franchise system in Malaysia. A franchisee may, however, distribute a franchisor's products, as this is not prohibited under the Act, and such an arrangement may be subject to a separate distribution agreement.

### iii Employment law

The Employment Act 1955 applies to employees whose wages do not exceed 1,500 ringgit a month and to all manual labourers (irrespective of wages). All other employees are governed by their employment contracts and common law principles developed through case law. Given the express provision within the Act that franchises are not regarded as service contracts, there will be no presumption of an employer–employee relationship between a franchisor and a franchisee.

### iv Consumer protection

Franchisees are not treated as consumers under existing laws in Malaysia or pursuant to the Consumer Protection Act 1999, which principally governs consumer rights and protection.<sup>48</sup>

---

46 Section 29(1) of the Franchise Act 1998.

47 Civil Appeal No. W-02-808-2009 (unreported).

48 Section 3 of the Consumer Protection Act 1999.

**v Competition law**

Franchise agreements commonly incorporate provisions that restrict or prevent competition, for instance, selective or exclusive distribution provisions and restrictions on the use of IPRs licensed under the franchise agreement. These provisions are permissible if they can be justified as proportionate and can be shown to be necessary to safeguard the goodwill that has been built up into a brand by the franchisor.

The Competition Commission of Malaysia (MyCC) will generally take a strong stance against resale price maintenance<sup>49</sup> and will deem any form of maximum pricing or recommended retail pricing that serves as a focal point for price determination to be anti-competitive.

As the Competition Act 2010 has only come into force relatively recently, MyCC has, as yet, not issued any guidelines to address the competing tensions between IPRs or franchise agreements on the one hand and free competition on the other. Resale price maintenance is one area of potential conflict. If a recommended price sought to be provided in a franchise agreement is a genuine recommendation and does not become a focal point for price determination, it is likely that it will be permitted.

**vi Restrictive covenants**

Non-compete clauses and restrictive covenants are generally upheld as contractual terms and enforceable for the duration of the agreement. Breach of these covenants entitles the aggrieved party to sue for damages and specific reliefs and may also form the grounds of a complaint to the Franchise Registry. Breach of restrictive covenants set out in the Act is tantamount to an offence under the Act.<sup>50</sup>

**vii Termination**

When introduced in 1998, the Act only prohibited the franchisee from terminating a franchise agreement before expiration of the franchise term. Following amendments made in 2012, a similar prohibition now applies to franchisors.<sup>51</sup>

In any event, either party may not terminate a franchise agreement before the expiration date except for good cause as stipulated in the Act. 'Good cause' is defined to include failure of the franchisee or franchisor to comply with the terms of the franchise agreement or any other relevant agreement between the parties and their failure to remedy such breach within a specified period, which may not be less than 14 days.<sup>52</sup>

Termination without the requirement of notice and the opportunity to remedy the breach is also permissible in certain specified circumstances such as bankruptcy or insolvency, voluntary abandonment of franchised business, assignment of franchise rights for the benefit of creditors or a similar disposition of the assets to any other person, conviction of a criminal offence that substantially impairs the goodwill associated with

---

49 Section 4 of the Competition Act 2010.

50 Section 27 of the Franchise Act 1998.

51 Section 19 of the Franchise (Amendment) Act 2012 and Section 31 of the Franchise Act 1998.

52 Section 31(2) of the Franchise Act 1998.

the franchisor's mark or other IPRs, and the repeated failure to comply with the terms of the agreement.<sup>53</sup>

Non-compete clauses are expressly permitted under the Act for a period of two years post-termination of the franchise<sup>54</sup> and they form an exception to contract law principles,<sup>55</sup> which generally hold a restraint of trade clause post-contract as void.

Apart from pursuing a civil action for a breach, the covenant may also be enforced by the franchisor by lodging a complaint with the Franchise Registrar and this may potentially lead to a criminal prosecution.<sup>56</sup>

Nothing in the Act prevents the franchisor from taking over the franchise business granted to the franchisee upon termination of the franchise term; however, in a non-renewal of the franchise agreement, the franchisor is under an obligation<sup>57</sup> to compensate the franchisee either by a repurchase or other means of compensating at a price agreed between the franchisor and franchisee in consideration of the diminution in value of the franchised business caused by the expiration of the franchise where:

- a* the franchisee is barred by the franchise agreement or by the refusal of the franchisor to waive any portion of the franchise agreement that prohibits the franchisee from continuing with the business; and
- b* the franchisee has not been given a written notice of the franchisor's intent not to renew the agreement at least six months prior to the expiration date.

#### viii Anti-corruption and anti-terrorism regulation

The Anti-Money Laundering and Anti-Terrorism Financing Act 2001 (AMLA) is the primary legislative framework combating money laundering and terrorist financing. The AMLA not only criminalises money laundering and financing of terrorism, but also imposes various obligations on reporting institutions. Any person who engages in, or attempts to engage in or abets the commission of money laundering, commits an offence under the AMLA.

Anti-corruption is governed under the Anti-Corruption Act 1997, which was enacted to make further and better provisions for the prevention of corruption.

In addition, in the absence of any specific legislation, regard must also be had to the Malaysian Penal Code, which covers fraudulent and dishonest acts such as criminal breach of trust,<sup>58</sup> cheating<sup>59</sup> and criminal misappropriation of property.<sup>60</sup>

---

53 Section 31(3) of the Franchise Act 1998.

54 Section 27 of the Franchise Act 1998.

55 Section 28 of the Contracts Act 1950.

56 Section 27(3) of the Franchise Act 1998.

57 Section 32 of the Franchise Act 1998.

58 Sections 405–409 of the Malaysian Penal Code.

59 Sections 415–424 of the Malaysian Penal Code.

60 Sections 403–404 of the Malaysian Penal Code.



**ix Dispute resolution**

Litigation can be an expensive, lengthy and complex process especially where foreign law is applied within a franchise agreement. Civil proceedings may be initiated in the Sessions or High Court depending on the proposed cause of action and quantum of damages sought.

It is a usual practice for parties, particularly where a foreign party is involved, to include a law and jurisdiction clause within their franchise agreement. Generally, the local courts will recognise and uphold a choice of foreign law or jurisdiction clause; however, the choice of foreign law may only cover the interpretation of the terms and conditions in a franchise agreement and may not exclude compliance with other Malaysian laws, such as the Act, which may still continue to apply and which may, in some instances take precedence over the terms of the franchise agreement.

Parties may also opt for arbitration or mediation as the preferred method of resolving disputes. Arbitrations are governed by the Arbitration Act 2005 and mediations are governed by the Mediation Act 2012. Mediation is, however, not a mandatory form of alternate dispute resolution and there are no costs or other penalties that can or may be imposed if a party refuses to pursue mediation and decides instead to initiate a court action.

Parties are generally encouraged by the Franchise Registry to resolve disputes informally, through good faith negotiations or mediation. The Franchise Registry, may, at the request of the parties, act as a mediator. Mediation is, however, not a mandatory form of dispute resolution.

Where informal dispute resolution mechanisms fail, the court process remains the primary means of enforcement.

Injunctive relief, both interim or permanent, is available to restrain the infringement of any IPRs or a breach of a restraint of trade covenant.

Where a contract is breached, the injured party is entitled to initiate a civil action for damages. Calculation of damages in a breach of contract (including misrepresentation) action depends on whether the injured party is claiming for expectation loss or reliance loss. Expectation loss aims to put the injured party in such a position as if the contract had been fully performed and where the injured party would have made certain profits or earnings; any such losses will have to be proven on a standard of balance of probabilities. If the injured party is claiming for reliance loss, the injured party must prove the expenses incurred arising from his or her reliance on the contract.

Under the Contracts Act 1950, the parties, must also restore or compensate for any advantage received where the injured party rescinds a contract that is void or has become voidable as a consequence of the breach. The Contracts Act 1950 also requires that an injured party take all reasonable steps to mitigate the loss and must not incur unreasonable expenses in the act of doing so.

An injured party may also seek to pursue claim under tort. Damages under tort are calculated to place the claimant in such a position as if the breach or tort had not taken place. They are generally quantified under two headings, general and special damages.

To successfully recover any damages, a claimant must prove on a balance of probabilities that the losses were caused by the defendant's actions or breach and there has been no break of in the chain of causation leading to the losses suffered. Losses that are too remote are not recoverable.

Litigation costs usually follow the event and are awarded to the successful party. There are no capped costs and in the absence of agreement between parties, the quantum of costs will be determined by the Court through taxation proceedings.

The registration and enforcement of foreign court judgments is governed by the Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgments Act 1958 (REJA). REJA is limited to final judgments<sup>61</sup> awarded by a Superior Court from a country listed in its First Schedule and they are Brunei, Hong Kong, India, New Zealand, Singapore, Sri Lanka and the United Kingdom. If the foreign judgment is from another jurisdiction, the only method of enforcement would be to initiate fresh proceedings and secure a Malaysian court judgment.

Malaysia is a signatory to the United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the New York Convention) and therefore Malaysian courts will recognise foreign arbitral awards made in a New York Convention contracting state, unless any of the grounds for refusal of recognition stated under Section 39(1) of the Arbitration Act 2005 are successfully established.

*Dr Premananthan Vasuthevan v Permai Polyclinics Sdn Bhd*<sup>62</sup> is the most recent High Court decision concerning franchising. The defendant was taken to court by the plaintiff for alleged unlawful termination of a management agreement entered into between the said parties. Under the agreement, the plaintiff ran a medical practice under the name of 'Permai Polyclinics Kingfisher' and the defendant provided the plaintiff management services, which included procuring contracts with corporations, financial institutions and their employers to appoint the defendant onto their medical panels. The plaintiff paid the defendant a 'franchise fee' and a fixed service fee. The plaintiff's argument was that the termination was unlawful as the agreement was a franchise agreement and the defendant could not terminate a franchise agreement before its expiration date, except for a good cause. The court held the agreement was not a franchise agreement and the plaintiff could invoke the relevant provisions of the Franchise Act 1998, based on the following reasoning:

- a* The defendant's control of the billings and payments of the panel patients in the plaintiff's clinic, which was only part of the whole business operations of Permai Polyclinic Kingfisher, cannot be said to have satisfied a fundamental requirement of a franchise contract.
- b* If a franchise is not duly registered, any franchise agreement made will be in contravention of Section 6(1) of the Franchise Act and thus illegal, ineffective and void.
- c* If the agreement was intended as a franchise agreement, the termination clause would have captured the words provided under the Franchise Act and not as the parties had mutually agreed between themselves.

---

61 Section 2 of the Reciprocal Enforcement of Judgment Act 1958. Judgments capable of registration are judgments or orders given or made by a court in any civil or criminal proceedings for the payment of a sum of money in respect of compensation or damages.

62 [2013] 1 LNS 1048.

- d* The fact the words 'franchise fee' or 'franchise' were used in the agreement cannot by itself denote the agreement was a franchise agreement.
- e* The same name 'Permai Polyclinic', same colour themes and same logo of the defendant used in the plaintiff's medical practice cannot be construed as a franchise business in the absence of evidence that the business operation was under continuous control of the franchisor and in the absence of the required registration.

## **VII CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS**

The Malaysian government is committed to ensuring the continued growth of the franchising industry and has established a National Franchise Development Blueprint (NFDB) 2012–2016. The NFDB sets out specific goals and strategies designed to accelerate the growth of the franchising industry. Its primary objectives include expanding the scope of franchising to cover a more extensive range of industry sectors, establishing Malaysia as a leading franchise hub for South-East Asia and making substantive inroads into the Middle Eastern countries by 2020.

The government has also developed plans to aid local franchise entrepreneurs, for example, by providing grants and loans, and through microfranchising. The Franchisor Development Programme is implemented through the Local Franchise Development Programme and the Franchise Business Aid Fund.

## Appendix 1

---

# ABOUT THE AUTHORS

### **LIN LI LEE**

*Tay & Partners*

Lin Li Lee is a partner of the firm and the head of the intellectual property and technology department. She handles contentious matters involving patent, trademark, copyright and industrial design infringement, and passing off, including seizure and anti-counterfeiting actions, and notably provides strategic advice on settlement negotiations. She also advises on domain name registration issues and disputes. Ms Lee regularly advises clients on cross-border transactions involving licensing, technology transfer, assignment and distributorship. A major part of her practice consists of advising and working closely with local and international clients on the management, protection and commercialisation of their IP portfolio in various sectors. She also advises on applications to register foreign and local franchises, and regularly speaks at seminars and workshops on personal data protection. Ms Lee read law at University of Leeds and was admitted as an Advocate and Solicitor of the High Court of Malaya.

### **TAY & PARTNERS**

6th Floor, Plaza See Hoy Chan

Jalan Raja Chulan

50200 Kuala Lumpur

Malaysia

Tel: +603 2050 1888

Fax: +603 2072 6354

[linli.lee@taypartners.com.my](mailto:linli.lee@taypartners.com.my)

[www.taypartners.com.my](http://www.taypartners.com.my)